Predictors and Outcomes of the Use of Mental Health Services: An Analysis of Observational Data

Xenia Dolja-Gore

BMath GradDipMedStat MPhil(MedSci)

A thesis submitted for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Medicine and Public Health
Faculty of Health
University of Newcastle

March 2016

Declaration / Statement of originality

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university of other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. I give consent to the final version of my thesis being made available worldwide when deposited in the University's Digital Repository**, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

| **Unless an Embargo has been approved for a determined period. |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Xenia Dolja-Gore |

Copyright permission

| I warrant that I have obtained, where necessary, permission from the copyright owners to use |
|--|
| any of my own published work (i.e. journal publications) in which the copyright is held by |
| another party. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Xenia Dolja-Gore |

Statement of collaboration

| I hereby certify that the work embodied in this thesis has been done in collaboration with other |
|--|
| $researchers. \ I \ have \ included \ as \ part \ of \ the \ thesis \ a \ statement \ clearly \ outlining \ the \ extent \ of \ the$ |
| collaboration, with whom and under what auspices. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Xenia Dolja-Gore |

Acknowledgements of authorship

| I hereby certify that the work embodied in this thesis contains a published paper/scholarly work |
|--|
| of which I am joint author. I have included as part of the thesis a written statement, endorsed |
| by my supervisor, attesting to my contribution to the joint publication/scholarly work. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Xenia Dolja-Gore |

Statement of contribution of others

| I hereby certify that this thesis is partially in the form of a series of published papers of which I |
|---|
| am joint author. I have included as part of the thesis a written statement from each co-author, |
| endorsed by the Faculty Assistant Dean (Research Training), attesting to my contribution to the |
| joint publications. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Xenia Dolja-Gore |

| Conflict of interest statement |
|--------------------------------|
| No conflict of interest. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Xenia Dolja-Gore |

Acknowledgements

Firstly, I would like to thank my supervisors and mentors, Associate Professor Deborah Loxton, Professor Julie Byles and Professors Catherine D'Este for their intellectual contribution, support and encouragement. Deb and Julie thank you so much for sharing your knowledge and taking me on as your student, making time in your busy schedules to see me and providing me with an opportunity to expand my research abilities. Cate, thank you for the statistics discussions which generally ended in an 'OK, I'll give that a try' and your support, especially in the final months leading to completion. From the bottom of my heart I cannot express my gratitude for all you have contributed to my growth over these past four years. I would also like to mention a thank you to the staff at the Research Centre for Generational Health and Ageing (RCGHA) for your day to day support, interest, organising of supervision meetings and wonderful morning teas.

To my dear friends, the Research Higher Degree students at the RCGHA, Martina, Pari, and the Chiang Mai Super 6 (Robyn, Tazeen, Yodi, Luna and Adam) thank you, it has been a long journey and such a memorable one. In particular, a special thank you to Martina, for the long writing sessions we shared at 'Liquid Gold' and the copious amounts of coffee, for the laughs that we shared and the constant encouragement you have given me. To the Chiang Mai Super 6 plus one (Pari), thank you for sharing my achievements and challenges (and there have been many of both). We each have started on this journey alone but have come together through our mutual determination to contribute to our research of interest. Whilst we are from such different cultural backgrounds we have accepted and admired each other's strengths and weaknesses, and together you have enriched my life. I know that we may end at different parts of the globe but will always be connected, and 'what ever happened at the conference, stays at the conference'.

I would like to acknowledge the support of my family over the past four years. To my Mαμά (Maria) thank you for your support, encouragement καί γιά την αγάπη σού. You were never fortunate enough to be sent to school but you always were determined that I was to have an education. I cannot thank you enough for your love and support. To my brothers (Kondrat and Yianni) and my dear cousin (Φωτιός-Γίαννης) you are so special to me and have been such an inspiration in my life.

To my beautiful children, Anya, Zali, Illias and Yuri you are my life, I love you so much. You have brought me so much happiness and joy that words will never express. It has been such a tiring four years for all of us; we have had three HSCs, three graduations and a PhD. Thank you for all the chocolates and cups of tea, your beautiful cards and many jokes (most of the time at my

expense). You have all grown into such beautiful adults and I encourage you all to follow your dreams, no matter how difficult the task seems at the time, you will achieve in the end. Alan, my husband, best friend and lifelong partner, we have been married now for 28 years and I want to thank you so much for supporting me every day of my life. Thank you for believing in me and encouraging me each day to persist and just never give up.

Finally, I wish to dedicate this thesis to the memory of my Папа, Igor (Kondratovich Pasichenko) Dolja, who passed away in 2008, I know you have been with me in spirit all the way through this journey.

Publications and presentations arising from this thesis

Manuscripts in peer-reviewed journals: Published

<u>Dolja-Gore X</u>, Loxton D, D'Este C, Byles J. **Mental health service use – is there a difference between rural and non-rural women in service uptake?** *The Australian Journal of Rural Health.* **2014, 22, 92-100**

Manuscripts in peer reviewed journals: Under review

<u>Dolja-Gore X</u>, Loxton D, D'Este C, Blythe F, Byles J. **Differences in Use of Government Subsidised**Mental Health Service by Men and Women with Psychological Distress: A Study of 229,628

Australians Aged 45 and Over. Community Mental Health Journal. (Under re-review).

Conference abstracts: Published in conference proceedings or peer-reviewed journals

Dolja-Gore X, Loxton D, D'Este C, Byles J. How Effective Are Australian Mental Health

Counselling Services For Women With Poor Mental Health? Value in Health 17(3):A143 · May

2014 Impact Factor: 3.28 · DOI: 10.1016/j.jval.2014.03.833

Presentations and Conferences:

Xenia Dolja-Gore, Deborah Loxton, Cate D'Este, Fiona Blythe, Julie Byles. **Other than** psychological distress what factors are associated with using mental health services? Population health Congress 2012

Xenia Dolja-Gore, Deborah Loxton, Cate D'Este, Fiona Blythe, Julie Byles. Other than psychological distress what factors are associated with using mental health services? 9th Annual 45 and Up Study Collaborators' Meeting 2012, Sydney NSW, 12 October 2012 (Plenary speaker)

Xenia Dolja-Gore, Deborah Loxton, Cate D'Este, Julie Byles. Trends in Mental Health Service use for Australian Women. 12th National Rural Health Conference, Adelaide, SA, 7-10 April 2013 (Women's Symposium Session)

<u>Xenia Dolja-Gore</u>, Deborah Loxton, Cate D'Este, Julie Byles. **Treatment effects on mental health outcomes for Australian women uptaking the 'Better Access Scheme' mental health counselling services: A data linkage study.** 2014 International Health Data Linkage Conference, April 28-30, Vancouver, Canada

Xenia Dolja-Gore, Deborah Loxton, Cate D'Este, Julie Byles. How effective are Australian Mental Health Counselling Services for Women with Poor Mental Health? ISPOR 19th Annual International Meeting, May31-June4, 2014. Montreal, QC, Canada.

<u>Xenia Dolja-Gore</u>, Deborah Loxton, Cate D'Este, Julie Byles. **Use of observational data to examine treatment effects of medicare subsidised mental health (BAS) services.** Australian Statistical Conference, July 7-10 2014, Sydney, Australia.

Xenia Dolja-Gore, Deborah Loxton, Cate D'Este, Julie Byles. Are younger Australian women with poor mental health receiving counselling services and how effective are they? Public Health Congress, September 6-9 2015, Hobart, Australia.

Xenia Dolja-Gore, Deborah Loxton, Cate D'Este, Julie Byles. How effective are counselling services for mid-aged women with poor mental health? (An Australian Longitudinal study). International Association of Gerontology and Geriatrics, IAGG Asia/Oceania Congress October 19-22 2015, Chiang Mai, Thailand.

Glossary of common abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Description |
|-------------------|---|
| | Represents a hybrid type of prevalence between lifetime prevalence |
| 1-year prevalence | and point prevalence, where the history of the disorder within a year |
| | prior to assessment is documented (2). |
| ABS | Australian Bureau of Statistics |
| AIC | Akaike's information Criteria |
| AIHW | Australian Institute of Health and Well-being |
| ALSWH | Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health |
| ARIA | Accessibility/Remoteness Index of Australia |
| ASAM | Average standardised absolute mean |
| ASGC | Australian Statistical Geographical Classification |
| ATAPS | Access To Allied Psychology Services |
| ATE | Average treatment effect |
| ATT | Average treatment effect on the treated |
| BAS | Better Access Scheme |
| BIC | Bayesian Information Criteria |
| ВМІ | Body Mass Index |
| BOIMHC | Better Outcomes in Mental Health Care |
| СВТ | Cognitive Behaviour Therapy |
| CI | Confidence Interval |
| СІМН | Committee for Incentives in Mental Health |
| COAG | Council of Australian Governments |
| DDD | Defined Daily Dose |
| EOI | Expression of Interest |
| GBD | Global Burden of Disease |
| GBM | Generalised Boosted Regression |
| GISCA | Geographic Information Systems |
| GMM | Growth Mixture Modelling |
| GP | General Practitioner |
| HAMD | Hamilton Depression (rating scale) |
| HREC | Human Research Ethics Committee |
| HRQoL | health-related Quality of Life |

| Abbreviation | Description |
|--------------|--|
| IPTW | Inverse Probability Treatment Weight |
| LMR | Lo-Mendell-Rubin (Likelihood ratio text) |
| LVMM | Latent Variable Mixture Models |
| MBS | Medicare Benefits Scheme |
| MOS | Medical Outcomes Study |
| MOU | Memorandum of Understanding |
| MSM | Marginal Structural Models |
| NICE | National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (UK) |
| NSW | New South Wales |
| OR | Odds ratio |
| PBS | Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme |
| PSA | Publications, Sub-studies and Analyses |
| RCT | Randomised Controlled Trial |
| ROC | Receiver Operator Characteristic |
| RR | Relative Risk |
| SES | Socio-Economic Status |
| SF-36 | Short Form 36 |
| SSRI | Selective serotonin uptake inhibitor |
| SUTVA | Stable Unit Treatment Value |
| TCA | Tricyclic antidepressants |
| TCA | Tricyclic antidepressants |
| WHO | World Health Organisation |
| YD | Years living with a disability |
| YLD | Years lost to death |

Table of Contents

| 1 | Intro | oduct | ion | 5 |
|-------------------------|-------|--------------|---|-----|
| | 1.1 | Introduction | | |
| | 1.2 | Back | ground and Rationale | . 5 |
| | 1.3 | Rese | earch Aims and Objectives | . 6 |
| | 1.4 | Cond | ceptual Definitions | . 7 |
| | 1.4. | 1 | Primary Care | . 7 |
| | 1.4. | 2 | Mental Health Problems | . 8 |
| | 1.4. | 3 | Mental Health Services | . 8 |
| | 1.5 | The | Research Framework | . 9 |
| | 1.5. | 1 | Applying the Andersen-Newman Behavioural Model of Health Services Use | . 9 |
| | 1.6 | Outli | ine of the Thesis | 15 |
| | 1.7 | Cond | clusions | 17 |
| 2 | Lite | rature | e Review and Background | 18 |
| 2.1 Literature Overview | | Liter | rature Overview | 18 |
| | 2.1. | 1 | Literature Searches | 19 |
| | 2.2 | Cont | textual Background | 20 |
| | 2.2. | 1 | Mental Health Problems Contribute to Burden of Disease | 20 |
| | 2.2. | 2 | Affects of Mental Disorders | 21 |
| | 2.2. | 3 | Financial Costs Due to Illness | 22 |
| | 2.3 | Prev | alence of Mental Health Problems | 23 |
| | 2.3. | 1 | Prevalence in Australia | 24 |
| | 2.4 | Inter | rventions for High Prevalence Mental Health Problems | 25 |
| | 2.4. | 1 | History of Interventions for Depression and Anxiety | 25 |
| | 2.4. | 2 | Intervention Through Psychotherapy | 26 |
| | 2.4. | 3 | Treatment with Medication | 28 |
| | 2.4. | 4 | Treatment Use Relative to this Thesis | 31 |
| | 2.5 | Men | tal Health Care Systems | 32 |

| 2.5.1 | Primary Care | 33 |
|------------|--|----|
| 2.5.2 | Barriers to Access Mental Health Services | 36 |
| 2.6 Me | ntal Health Policy and Reforms | 38 |
| 2.6.1 | Global Understanding | 39 |
| 2.6.2 | Australian Responses | 41 |
| 2.7 Aus | tralian Reform of the Mental Health System | 42 |
| 2.7.1 | Better Outcome in Mental Health Care Initiative | 46 |
| 2.7.2 | Better Access Scheme Initiative | 46 |
| 2.7.2. | 1 Mental Health Services Under the Better Access Scheme | 48 |
| 2.8 Eva | luation of the Australian Initiatives | 51 |
| 2.8.1 | Evaluations of the Better Access Scheme | 51 |
| 2.9 Cor | oclusions | 53 |
| 3 Overviev | v of the Study Methods | 55 |
| 3.1 Intr | oduction | 55 |
| 3.2 Dat | a Sources | 55 |
| 3.2.1 | Cohort Studies | 55 |
| 3.2.2 | The 45 & Up Study data | 56 |
| 3.2.3 | Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health (ALSWH) Data | 59 |
| 3.2.4 | Medicare Data | 64 |
| 3.2.4. | 1 Better Access Scheme | 66 |
| 3.2.5 | Data Access and Ethics Approval | 68 |
| 3.2.5. | 1 Approval of the 45 and Up Study Data | 69 |
| 3.2.5. | 2 Approval of the Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health Data | 70 |
| 3.3 Var | iable Selection – Definitions, Classification and Exclusions | 71 |
| 3.3.1 | Definition of User and Non-Users of the Mental Health Services | 72 |
| 3.3.2 | SF36-Mental Health Index | 75 |
| 3.3.3 | Kessler K-10 score | 77 |
| 3.3.4 | Identifying Depression and Anxiety | 78 |

| 3.3 | 3.5 Aria Plus index | 8 |
|----------|--|----|
| 3.3 | 3.6 Missing data 8 | 0 |
| 3.4 | Statistical Methods | 1 |
| 3.4 | \$1.1 Statistical Methods to Investigate Associations with Mental Health Services 8 | 2 |
| 3.4 | 1.2 Causal Modelling 8 | 3 |
| | 3.4.2.1 Propensity Score Methods | 3 |
| | 3.4.2.2 Latent Class and Growth Mixture Models | 5 |
| 3.4 | 1.3 Summary of Methods 8 | 7 |
| 3.5 | Software Used for Analysis 8 | 7 |
| 3.6 | Chapter Summary 8 | 8 |
| 4 Dif | ferences in Use of Government Subsidised Mental Health Services by Men and Wome | n |
| with Ps | ychological Distress: A Study of 229,628 Australians Aged 45 and Over (Paper 1)9 | 0 |
| 4.1 | Introduction9 | 1 |
| 4.2 | Overview9 | 1 |
| 4.3 | Aim 1: Applying The Andersen-Newman Behavioural Model to Australian Data t | 0 |
| Ident | ify Factors Associated with Health Service Utilisation on a Population Level by Men an | d |
| Wom | nen9 | 3 |
| 4.3 | 3.1 Abstract | 3 |
| 4.3 | Paper 1: Differences in Use of Government Subsidised Mental Health Service b | ıy |
| Me | en and Women with Psychological Distress: A Study of 229,628 Australian Aged 45 Year | rs |
| an | d Over.93 | |
| 4.4 | Discussion | 7 |
| 4.5 | Conclusion | 7 |
| 5 Dif | ferences between Metropolitan and Non-Metropolitan Use of the BAS Amongst Wome | n |
| (Paper 2 | 2)10 | 9 |
| 5.1 | Overview | 0 |
| 5.2 | Background | 0 |
| 5.3 | Aim 2: Determine if inequitable distribution exists for the mental health service | 25 |
| unde | r the BAS across cohorts of women in metropolitan and regional areas of Australia . 11 | 2 |

| | 5.3. | 1 | Abstract | .112 |
|---|------|--------|---|-------|
| | 5.3. | 2 | Paper 2: Mental health service use: Is there a difference between rural and | non- |
| | rura | ıl wor | nen in service uptake? | .113 |
| | 5.4 | Chap | oter Summary | .124 |
| 6 | Proj | pensit | ty Scores: Methodology | . 125 |
| | 6.1 | Ove | rview | .125 |
| | 6.2 | Intro | oduction | .125 |
| | 6.2. | 1 | History of Propensity Scores | .126 |
| | 6.3 | Estir | mating Causal Treatment Effects | .127 |
| | 6.4 | Prop | pensity Scores as a Method for Investigating Causation | .128 |
| | 6.4. | 1 | Assumptions for Propensity Scores | .130 |
| | 6.5 | Met | hods for Estimating Propensity Scores | .131 |
| | 6.5. | 1 | Classification Trees | .131 |
| | 6.5. | 2 | Bootstrapping, Bagging and Regression Trees | .132 |
| | 6.5. | 3 | Generalised Boosted Regression Models (GBM) | . 133 |
| | 6.5. | 4 | Logistic Regression | .134 |
| | 6.5. | 5 | Comparison of Methods | .135 |
| | 6.6 | Asse | essing the Adequacy of the Propensity Scores | .136 |
| | 6.6. | 1 | Baseline Covariate Assessments | .136 |
| | 6.6. | 2 | Evaluating Imbalance in Propensity Scores | .138 |
| | 6.7 | Met | hods of Analysis Using Propensity Scores | .139 |
| | 6.7. | 1 | Stratification | .139 |
| | 6.7. | 2 | Matching | .141 |
| | 6.7. | 3 | Inverse Probability Treatment Weighting | .143 |
| | 6.7. | 4 | Covariate Adjustment Regression | .144 |
| | 6.8 | Impl | ementation of Propensity Scores | .145 |
| | 6.8. | | Estimating Treatment Effects after Propensity Scores have been Calculated. | |
| | 6.8. | | Transitional Probabilities using Propensity Scores | |

| | 6.9 | Adva | ntages and Disadvantages of Using Propensity Scores | 147 |
|---|-------|---------|--|-------|
| | 6.9.2 | 1 | Selection Bias | 148 |
| | 6.10 | Appli | cation of Propensity Score Methods in this Thesis | 150 |
| | 6.11 | Conc | lusion | 153 |
| 7 | Estir | matior | of the Propensity Scores for the ALSWH Data | .154 |
| | 7.1 | Intro | duction | 154 |
| | 7.1.3 | 1 | Background | 154 |
| | 7.1.2 | 2 | Aims/Objectives/Research Questions | 154 |
| | 7.2 | Meth | nods | 155 |
| | 7.2.3 | 1 | Sample Data | 155 |
| | 7.2.2 | 2 | Descriptions of the Phases for Analysis | 156 |
| | 7.2.3 | 3 | Covariate Selection | 157 |
| | 7.2.4 | 4 | Variables Selected for Testing as Covariates | 158 |
| | 7.2.5 | 5 | Treatment and Outcome Measures | 160 |
| | 7.3 | Statis | stical Methods | 161 |
| | 7.3.2 | 1 | Model Building – Covariate Selection | 161 |
| | 7.3.2 | 2 | Balancing Baseline Characteristics Achieved by the Propensity Scores | 162 |
| | 7.3.3 | 3 | Missing Data/Sensitivity Analysis | 164 |
| | 7.3.4 | 4 | Assessing Model Adequacy | 164 |
| | 7.4 | Resu | lts | 164 |
| | 7.4.2 | 1 | Propensity Score Model for the 1973-78 Cohort | 164 |
| | 7. | .4.1.1 | Descriptive Statistics – 1973-78 Cohort | 164 |
| | 7. | .4.1.2 | Model Development - Cohort 1973-78 | 168 |
| | 7. | .4.1.3 | Initial Assessment of the Propensity Scores for the 1973-78 Cohort | 169 |
| | 7. | .4.1.4 | Balancing of the Selected Covariates 1973-78 | 171 |
| | 7. | 4.1.4.1 | Assessment of Mean Standardised Differences | . 175 |
| | 7.4.2 | 2 | Propensity Score Model for the 1946-51 Cohort | 181 |
| | 7 | 421 | Descriptive Statistics – 1946-51 Cohort | 181 |

| | 7.4.2.2 | Model Development - 1946-51 Cohort | . 186 |
|-----|-------------|--|-------|
| | 7.4.2.3 | Initial Assessment of the Propensity Scores for the 1946-51 Cohort | . 187 |
| | 7.4.2.4 | Balancing Diagnostics of the Selected Covariates 1946-51 | .189 |
| | 7.4.2.4.1 | Assessment of Mean Standardised Differences for the 1946-51 Cohort | 193 |
| 7 | .4.3 P | ropensity Score Model for the 1921-26 Cohort | . 200 |
| | 7.4.3.1 | Descriptive Statistics – 1921-26 Cohort | .200 |
| | 7.4.3.2 | Model Development - 1921-26 Cohort | . 203 |
| | 7.4.3.3 | Initial Assessment of the Propensity Scores for the 1921-26 Cohort | . 205 |
| | 7.4.3.4 | Balancing of the Measured Covariates in the 1921-26 Cohort | . 207 |
| 7.5 | Discus | sion | . 207 |
| 7.6 | Chapto | er Summary | .210 |
| 8 A | application | of Propensity Score Techniques | . 212 |
| 8.1 | Introd | uction | .212 |
| 8.2 | Aims a | and Research Questions | .213 |
| 8.3 | Metho | ods | .213 |
| 8 | 3.3.1 C | Outcome Measures | .213 |
| | 8.3.1.1 | Comparison Tests | .214 |
| | 8.3.1.2 | Sensitivity Analysis | .215 |
| 8 | 3.3.2 A | pplications of Propensity Scores Techniques | .216 |
| | 8.3.2.1 | Conventional Regression Modelling | .216 |
| | 8.3.2.2 | Stratification | .217 |
| | 8.3.2.3 | Matching Techniques | .217 |
| | 8.3.2.4 | Inverse Probability Treatment Weighting Methods | .219 |
| | 8.3.2.5 | Covariate Adjustment Models | .221 |
| 8.4 | Result | S | .221 |
| 8 | 3.4.1 C | omparison of Propensity Score Models for the 1973-78 Cohort | .222 |
| | 8.4.1.1 | Standard Modelling of Mental Health Service Use for the 1973-78 Cohort | .222 |

| | 8.4.1.1.1 | Univariate Analysis for Associations with Mental Health Service Use for the 1973-78 |
|---|---------------------|---|
| | Cohort 8.4.1.1.2 | 223Conventional Regression Models to Predict Mental Health Outcomes 1973-78 Cohort225 |
| | 8.4.1.2 | Stratification Propensity Score Techniques Applied to the 1973-78 Cohort 227 |
| | 8.4.1.2.1 | Treatment Effects for Stratification Techniques of the 1973-78 Cohort227 |
| | 8.4.1.3 | Matching Propensity Score Methods Applied to the 1973-78 Cohort 230 |
| | 8.4.1.3.1 | Summary Diagnostics for Matching Propensity Score Methods (1973-78 Cohort) 230 |
| | 8.4.1.3.2 | Treatment Effects adjusting for Matching Methods in the 1973-78 Cohort233 |
| | 8.4.1.4 | Inverse Probability Treatment Weighting Applied to the 1973-78 Cohort 234 |
| | 8.4.1.4.1 | Summary Diagnostic Tests for Inverse Probability Treatment Weighting (1973-78 |
| | Cohort) | 234 |
| | 8.4.1.4.2 | Treatment Effects Adjusting for the Inverse Probability Treatment Weights in the |
| | | ohort |
| | 8.4.1.5 | Covariate Adjustment Propensity Score Techniques Applied to the 1973-78 |
| | Cohort. | 241 |
| | 8.4.1.5.1 | Treatment Effects Using Covariate Adjustment Propensity Scores (1973-78 Cohort) 241 |
| | 8.4.1.6 | Summary of Treatment Effects for the 1973-78 Cohort |
| 8 | .4.2 C | omparison of Propensity Score Models for the 1946-51 Cohort 243 |
| | 8.4.2.1 | Standard Modelling of Mental Health Service Use for the 1946-51 Cohort. 243 |
| | 8.4.2.1.1 | Univariate Analysis for Associations with Mental Health Outcomes for the 1946-51 |
| | Cohort | 243 |
| | 8.4.2.1.2 | Conventional Regression Models to Predict Mental Health Outcomes 1946-51 Cohort 245 |
| | 8.4.2.2 | Stratification Propensity Score Techniques Applied to the 1946-51 Cohort 246 |
| | 8.4.2.2.1 | Treatment Effects for Stratification Techniques of the 1946-51 Cohort247 |
| | 8.4.2.3 | Matching Propensity Score Methods Applied to the 1946-51 Cohort 250 |
| | 8.4.2.3.1 | Summary Diagnostics for Matching Propensity Score Methods (1946-51 Cohort) 250 |
| | 8.4.2.3.2 | Treatment Effects Adjusting for Matching Methods in the 1946-51 Cohort253 |
| | 8.4.2.4 | Inverse Probability Treatment Weighting Applied to the 1946-51 Cohort 254 |
| | 8.4.2.4.1 | Summary Diagnostic Tests for Inverse Probability Treatment Weighting (1946-51 |
| | Cohort) | 255 |

| 8.4.2.4.2 Cohort | Treatment Effects Using Inverse Probability Treatment Weights for the 1946-51 259 |
|---|--|
| 8.4.2.5 | Covariate Adjusted Propensity Score Techniques Applied to the 1946-51 |
| Cohort | 262 |
| 8.4.2.5.1 | |
| the 1946- | -51 Cohort |
| 8.4.2.6 | Summary of Treatment Effects for the 1946-51 Cohort |
| 8.4.3 | Comparison of Propensity Score Models for the 1921-26 Cohort265 |
| 8.4.3.1 | Standard Modelling of Mental Health Service Use for the 1921-26 Cohort . 265 |
| 8.4.3.1.1 Cohort | Univariate Analysis for Associations with Mental Health Outcomes in the 1921-26 265 |
| 8.4.3.1.2 | |
| 8.4.3.2 | Matching Techniques Applied to the 1921-26 Cohort268 |
| 8.4.3.2.1 | Summary Diagnostics for Matching Propensity Score Methods (1946-51 Cohort)268 |
| 8.4.3.2.2 | Estimated Treatment Effects using Matching Propensity Score Models for the 1921-26 |
| Cohort | 270 |
| 8.4.4 S | ensitivity Analysis271 |
| 8.4.4.1 | Women Born 1973-78 Using Adjusted Regression Models272 |
| 8.4.4.2 | Women Born 1946-51 Using Adjusted Regression Models274 |
| | |
| 8.5 Discus | ssion |
| | verage Treatment Effects - A Cohort Comparison |
| 8.5.1 A | |
| 8.5.1 A | Average Treatment Effects - A Cohort Comparison279 |
| 8.5.1 A 8.5.2 G Convention | Average Treatment Effects - A Cohort Comparison279 Generational Differences in Improved Mental Health Outcomes on Application of |
| 8.5.1 A 8.5.2 G Convention 8.6 Chapt | Average Treatment Effects - A Cohort Comparison |
| 8.5.1 A 8.5.2 G Convention 8.6 Chapt Two Time-I | Average Treatment Effects - A Cohort Comparison |
| 8.5.1 A 8.5.2 G Convention 8.6 Chapt Two Time-I | Average Treatment Effects - A Cohort Comparison |
| 8.5.1 A 8.5.2 G Convention 8.6 Chapt Two Time-I 9.1 Introd 9.2 Backg | Average Treatment Effects - A Cohort Comparison |
| 8.5.1 A 8.5.2 G Convention 8.6 Chapt Two Time-I 9.1 Introd 9.2 Backg 9.3 Aims a | Average Treatment Effects - A Cohort Comparison |

9

| | 9.4.2 | Measures | 286 |
|----|---|--|-------------------|
| | 9.4. | .2.1 Definitions of Periods of Interest | 286 |
| | 9.4.3 | Statistical Methods | 287 |
| | 9.4. | .3.1 Time-Point Propensity Score Analyses | 287 |
| | 9.4.4 | Model specification | 289 |
| 9. | 5 R | Results | 290 |
| | 9.5.1 | Transitional probabilities | 299 |
| 9. | 6 D | Discussion | 303 |
| 9. | 7 C | Conclusions | 306 |
| 10 | Patter | rns of Counselling Service Use by Australian Women in the 1973-78 Co | hort307 |
| 10 | 0.1 0 | Overview | 307 |
| 10 | D.2 B | Background | 307 |
| | 10.2.1 | l Equity of Access | 307 |
| | 10.2.2 | 2 Evaluating Treatment Uptake of the Better Access Scheme | Mental Health |
| | Service | tes 308 | |
| 10 |).3 A | Aims and Objectives | 309 |
| | 10.3.1 | I Growth models | 309 |
| | 10.3 | 3.1.1 Development | 309 |
| | 10.3 | 3.1.2 Usage | 310 |
| | 10.3 | 3.1.3 Latent Class Determination | 311 |
| | 10.3 | 3.1.4 Comparing Model Fit | 312 |
| 10 | 0.4 N | | 312 |
| | | Methods | |
| | 10.4.1 | | |
| | 10.4.110.4.2 | l Study Participants | 312 |
| | | Study Participants Outcome Measures | 312 |
| | 10.4.2 | Study Participants | 312 |
| | 10.4.2 10.4.3 | Study Participants Outcome Measures Explanatory Variables | 312 313 314 |

| 10.4.5. | 2 Summary of Model Assessment Criteria | 317 |
|------------------|--|--------------|
| 10.4.5. | 3 Advantages/Disadvantages (Missingness) | 318 |
| 10.4.5. | 4 Descriptive Analyses | 318 |
| 10.4.5. | 5 Time-Point Measurements | 319 |
| 10.4.5. | 6 Statistical Packages | 322 |
| 10.5 Resu | ılts | 322 |
| 10.5.1 Cohort | Preliminary Analysis of Mental Health Service Use for Women from 322 | the 1973-78 |
| 10.5.2 | Establishing the Base Model Type | 324 |
| 10.5.3 | Latent Class Growth Analysis – Single Class | 329 |
| 10.5.3. | 1 Latent Class Growth Models with Predisposing, Enabling and Nee330 | d Covariates |
| 10.5.4 | Pattern of Use of the Mental Health Services by Women of the 197 | 3-78 Cohort |
| 10.5.4. | 1 Characteristics of the Women per Latent Class Group | 337 |
| 10.6 Disc | ussion | 344 |
| 10.7 Cond | clusions | 346 |
| 11 Study Fin | dings, Implications, Conclusions, Discussion and Recommendations | 347 |
| 11.1 Ove | rview | 347 |
| 11.2 Stud | y Findings and Discussion | 348 |
| 11.2.1 | Mental Health Service Use | 348 |
| 11.3 Polic | cy Implications | 351 |
| 11.3.1 | Future Reform Implications | 353 |
| 11.4 Appl | lications of Different Statistical Methods | 354 |
| 11.4.1 | Comparison of Propensity Score Methods Used | 354 |
| 11.4.2 | Methods as Platforms for Evaluation | 355 |
| 11.5 Rese | earch Strengths and Limitations | 358 |
| 11.5.1 | Future research | 359 |

| : | 11.6 | Rec | ommendations | 360 |
|----------|---------------|--------|---|-------|
| - | 11.7 | Con | cluding Remarks | 361 |
| 12 | Арр | endi | ces | 363 |
| : | 12.1 | Lite | rature Review Searches | 363 |
| | 12.1 | l.1 | Conducting the Literature Review | 364 |
| | 12.1 | L.2 | Evaluation of the Better Access Scheme Searches | 366 |
| - | 12.2 | Mei | ntal Health Referral | 367 |
| | 12.2 | 2.1 | Access to ALSWH data (Chapter 3) | 368 |
| | 12.2 | 2.2 | Appendix K-10 Questionnaire (Section 3.3.3) | . 369 |
| { | - | nmen | tement of Author Contributions for Paper Entitled "Differences in usent subsidised mental health services by men and women with psychologistudy of 229,628 Australians aged 45 years and over." | gical |
| <i>.</i> | 12.4 | Des | criptive Characteristics for Users and Non-Users of the Mental Health Service: | s372 |
| | 12.5 Jsers | | variate Analysis for Predisposing, Enabling and Need Factors by Users and I | |
| í | 12.6 | Pre: | dictors of the Mental Health Services Based on the Behavioural Model for | Men |
| - | 12.7 | Pre | dictors of the Mental Health Services Based on the Behavioural Model for Wo | men |
| - | 12.8 | Pre | dictors of the Mental Health Services Based on the Behavioural Model for Men | with |
| I | High/\ | /ery l | High Psychosocial Distress | 382 |
| - | 12.9 | Pre | dictors of the Mental Health Services Based on the Behavioural Model for Wo | men |
| \ | with H | igh/\ | Very High Psychosocial Distress | 385 |
| - | 12.10 | S | tatement of Author Contributions for Paper Entitled "Mental Health Service | Use: |
| I | s ther | e a d | lifference between Rural and Non-Rural Women in Service Uptake" | 388 |
| - | 12.11 | А | Australian Journal of Rural Health Editor's Approval to Included Paper | 389 |
| - | 12.12 | S | elf-Reported Medications Question from the ALSWH Data Set | 391 |
| 12 | Pofe | rone | roc | 280 |

Table of Figures

| Figure 1-1 The Andersen-Newman Behavioural model of health service utilisation12 |
|---|
| Figure 1-2 The emerging model of the Anderson-Newman Behavioural Model of Health Service |
| Use - 6th Revision14 |
| Figure 2-1 Content and structure of the literature review |
| Figure 2-2. Concepts of incidence and prevalence23 |
| Figure 2-3 Better Access Initiative process |
| Figure 3-1 Years that surveys were collected by Cohort62 |
| Figure 3-2. Sample participants and groups by chapter74 |
| Figure 3-3 ARIA+ regions79 |
| Figure 3-4 Representation of the GMM with covariates used in Chapter 1087 |
| Figure 6-1 Flowchart of the application of propensity score methods in Chapter 7, 8 and 9 152 |
| Figure 7-1. Distribution of mean mental health scores at a) baseline and b) follow-up for |
| women who do and do not have improved mental health outcomes (Cohort 1973-78)166 |
| Figure 7-2: (a) Distribution of mental health follow-up scores (S5) and (b) q-q plots by mental |
| health services use for women of the 1973-78 cohort170 |
| Figure 7-3: Probability of (a) predicting the propensity score model (ROC) and the (b) |
| distribution of propensity scores for the 1973-78 Cohort |
| Figure 7-4. Distribution of (a) propensity scores by treatment and (b) propensity scores by |
| treatment and quintiles (Cohort 1973-78), demonstrating balance of propensity scores between |
| the groups |
| Figure 7-5. Distribution of (a) Body mass index scores and (b) mean baseline mental health |
| scores by quintiles and mental health service use group (Cohort 1973-78)173 |
| Figure 7-6. Distribution of mental health service Claims by quintiles and treatment group (Cohort |
| 1973-78) |
| Figure 7-7 Quintile-quintile plots for the 1973-78 Cohort |
| Figure 7-8 Distribution of mean mental health scores at a) baseline and b) follow-up for women |
| who do and do not have improved mental health outcomes (1946-51 Cohort) (N=2,533) 183 |
| Figure 7-9 Baseline mental health score and follow-up mental health scores for women born |
| 1946-51 for users and non-users of the services. (N=2,533) |
| Figure 7-10. Probability of (a) predicting the propensity score model (ROC) and the (b) |
| distribution of propensity scores for the 1946-51 Cohort |

| Figure 7-11. Distribution of propensity scores a) by mental health service and b) Mental healt |
|---|
| service user and quintiles (1946-51 Cohort), demonstrating balance of propensity score |
| between the groups |
| Figure 7-12. Distribution of propensity scores for a) Mean baseline mental health score and ${f t}$ |
| body mass index (BMI) across quintiles and user groups (Cohort 1946-51) 19 |
| Figure 7-13. Distribution of mental health service claims by quintiles and user group (1946-5 |
| Cohort) |
| Figure 7-14 Quantile-quantile plots for all Strata by mental health service use for the 1946-5 |
| Cohort |
| Figure 7-15 Distribution of mean mental health scores at a) baseline and b) follow-up for wome |
| who do and do not have improved mental health outcomes (Cohort 1921-26) |
| Figure 7-16 Distribution of a) baseline and b) follow-up mental health scores between users an |
| non-users of the mental health services (1921-26 Cohort) |
| Figure 7-17 Area Under the Curve for 1921-26 Cohort20 |
| Figure 7-18 Distribution of propensity scores for users and Non-Users of the mental healt |
| services (1921-26 Cohort) |
| Figure 7-19 Boxplots of propensity scores by users and non-users of the mental health service |
| 1921-26 Cohort |
| Figure 8-1 Distribution of matched propensity score for users and non-users of the menta |
| health services (1973-78 Cohort)23 |
| Figure 8-2 Distribution of the Inverse Probability Treatment Weights for the 1973-78 Cohor |
| 23 |
| Figure 8-3 Distribution of stabilised IPTWs by service use for the 1973-78 Cohort |
| Figure 8-4 Distribution of stabilised IPTWs by population and treatment group for the 1973-7 |
| Cohort 23 |
| Figure 8-5 Distribution of matched propensity Score for users and non-users of the menta |
| health services (1946-51 Cohort)25 |
| Figure 8-6 Distribution of the IPTWs by treatment groups for women from the 1946-51 Cohor |
| 25 |
| Figure 8-7 Distribution of stabilised IPTWs by population and by treatment group for the 1946 |
| 51 Cohort |
| Figure 8-8 Distribution of propensity scores between matched groups (Cohort 1921-26) 26 |
| Figure 8-9 Cohort comparisons of treatment effects by propensity score techniques |

| Figure 8-10 Cohort comparisons of mean change in mental health outcomes by propensity score |
|--|
| techniques |
| Figure 9-1 Roc Curves displaying propensity score model adequacy for first and second follow- |
| up |
| Figure 9-2 Kernel density distribution of the propensity scores at Phase II and Phase III292 |
| Figure 9-3 Propensity scores for during Phase II (2006-2009) and Phase III (2009-2012)293 |
| Figure 9-4 Distribution of propensity scores for each follow-up period and by stratum and use of |
| the mental health services |
| Figure 9-5 Mental Health scores at each follow-up period for Non-users at Phase II295 |
| Figure 9-6 Mental health scores at each follow-up period for service users at Phase II295 |
| Figure 9-7 Mental health scores for women across the two follow-up periods298 |
| Figure 9-8 Distribution of changes in mental health scores from baseline to second follow-up |
| 299 |
| Figure 9-9 Transitional Probabilities for women in the No-No mental health service user group |
| 300 |
| Figure 9-10 Transitional probabilities for women in the Yes-Yes mental health service user group |
| Figure 9-11 No use (2006-2009)/No use (2009-2012) |
| Figure 9-12 No use (2006-2009)/Yes use (2009-2012)302 |
| Figure 9-13 Yes use (2006-2009)/No use (2009-2012)302 |
| Figure 9-14 Yes use (2006-2009)/Yes use (2009-2012)302 |
| Figure 10-1 A graphical representation of the LCGM model to represents use of the mental |
| health services by the 1973-78 Cohort Model classifications (single level model)320 |
| Figure 10-2 Psychological distress among women from the 1973-78 Cohort having claimed |
| either a GP mental health consultation or service under the BAS initiative (N=4,458), prior to and |
| following first service use |
| Figure 10-3 Proportion of women from the 1973-78 Cohort by the number of years' mental |
| health service use |
| Figure 10-4 Proportion of women from the 1973-78 Cohort by the total number of mental health |
| service claims324 |
| Figure 10-5 Observed and estimated model means of mental health services for women of the |
| 1973-78 Cohort from different models |
| Figure 10-6 Individual patterns of mental health service use for the 1973-78 Cohort329 |

| Figure 10-7 Latent Class membership (posterior probabilities) for the four-class model for |
|--|
| women from the 1973-78 Cohort336 |
| Figure 10-8. Latent Class membership (posterior probabilities) for the six-class model for womer |
| from the 1973-78 Cohort337 |
| Figure 10-9 Number of services by latent profile |
| Figure 10-10 Mean mental health score with 95% confidence intervals for women by latent class |
| group341 |
| Figure 10-11 Pattern of mental health service use by latent profile over time342 |
| Figure 10-12 Mean number of mental health services per year by latent class groups of womer |
| 343 |
| Figure 12-1. Survey 4 – young women question on Self-reported medications |
| Figure 12-2. Survey 5 – young women question on self-reported medications, 1946-51 Cohor |
| survey 5. and 1921-26 Cohort survey 6 |

Table of Tables

| Table 2-1 Search terms for the health initiative literature review |
|---|
| Table 2-2 Description of mental health problems generally treated in primary care3 |
| Table 2-3 Timelines of Mental Health Initiatives and strategies4 |
| Table 3-1 Baseline Characteristics as shown in the 45 and Up Study Databook (2001)5 |
| Table 3-2 Table of response rates for the 1973-78, 1946-51 and 1921-26 Cohorts6 |
| Table 3-3 Baseline characteristics as shown in the ALSWH Databook (1996)6 |
| Table 3-4 MBS Item numbers for the Better Access Scheme services (since 2006)6 |
| Table 3-5 ABS K-10 Score groupings and classifications7 |
| Table 3-6 ASGC Remoteness Classification: Purpose and Use. (Census Paper No. 03/01)8 |
| Table 4-1 Logistic regression models exploring the relationship between the Anderson-Newma |
| behavioural model factors (Predisposing, Enabling and Need components) and Mental Healt |
| Service Use including Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals |
| Table 7-1. Phase I, II and III for all Cohorts15 |
| Table 7-2 Factors relevant to the outcome measures based on the Anderson-Newma |
| Behavioural model components |
| Table 7-3. SF36-Mental Health Outcomes from 2003-2009 for the 1973-78 Cohort (N=2,311 |
| 16 |
| Table 7-4: Baseline Characteristics for each treatment group for the Cohort 1973-78 (N=2,311) |
| 16 |
| Table 7-5. Propensity Score Balance Assessment of unadjusted and adjusted models for Cohor |
| 1973-7817 |
| Table 7-6. Standardised differences for the Cohort 1973-78 before and after Propensity Scor |
| adjustment |
| Table 7-7 Residual imbalance observed between users and non-users of the mental healt |
| services within stratum for women from 1973-78 Cohort |
| Table 7-8 SF36-Mental Health scores from 2007-2012 for the 1946-51 Cohort (N=2,533)18 |
| Table 7-9. Baseline Characteristics for women from the 1946-51 Cohort that did and did not us |
| the mental health services |
| Table 7-10. Propensity Score Balance Assessment of unadjusted and adjusted Models. (1946-51 |
| 19 |
| Table 7-11. Standardised differences for unadjusted, adjusted and strata differences (Cohor |
| 1946-51)19 |

| Table 7-12 Residual imbalance observed between users and non-users within stratum for the | ıe |
|--|------------|
| 1946-51 Cohort | 9 |
| Table 7-13 SF36-Mental Health Scores from 2005-2010 for the 1921-26 Cohort (N=752) 20 | 1 |
| Table 7-14. Baseline characteristics for women with and without improved mental healt | :h |
| outcomes from the Cohort 1921-26 20 | 3 |
| Table 7-15 Variables Used for Estimating Propensity Scores across Cohorts21 | 0 |
| Table 8-1 Baseline Characteristics for users and non-users of the mental health services for th | ıe |
| Cohort 1973-78 (N=2,311) | 5 |
| Table 8-2 Conventional regression models (no propensity scores) - Cohort 1973-78 22 | 6 |
| Table 8-3 Stratum-specific treatment effects for Cohort 1973-78 (N=2,311)22 | 9 |
| Table 8-4 Comparison of Users and non-Users of the mental health services for the Cohort 1973 | 3- |
| 78 in matched (1:1) sample | 3 |
| Table 8-5 Treatment effects using matching methods in the 1973-78 Cohort | 4 |
| Table 8-6. Summary statistics for Propensity Score and IPTWs distributions by Service use of | of |
| users and non-user for the Cohort 1973-7823 | 7 |
| Table 8-7 Comparison of treatment effects (only) across IPTW techniques for the 1973-7 | '8 |
| Cohort | 9 |
| Table 8-8 Comparison of treatment effects and covariate adjustment across IPTW technique | 3S |
| for the 1973-78 Cohort | .1 |
| Table 8-9. Summary of Estimated Odds Ratios (treatment effects) for the Cohort 1973-78 acros | SS |
| the different model types adjusting for stratification | 2 |
| Table 8-10 Baseline Characteristics for users and non-users of the mental health services for th | ıe |
| 1946-51 Cohort (N=2,533) | .5 |
| Table 8-11. Conventional regression models (no propensity scores) - 1946-51 Cohort 24 | .6 |
| Table 8-12. Stratum-specific treatment effects for for women in the 1946-51 Cohort (N=2,533 | 3) |
| | .9 |
| Table 8-13 Comparison of one to one matched sample of users and non-Users of the service | es. |
| from the 1946-51 Cohort25 | 3 |
| Table 8-14 Treatment effects using matching techniques for the 1946-51 Cohort 25 | 4 |
| Table 8-15 Distribution of IPTWs for stabilising and trimming ranges by BAS use (Cohort 1946 | 5 - |
| 51) | 7 |
| Table 8-16 Comparison of treatment effects (only) across IPTW techniques for the 1946-5 | 1 |
| Cohort | o |

| Table 8-17 Modelling service use and adjusting for follow-up covariates and IPTW | s technique |
|--|---------------------|
| the 1946-51 Cohort. | 262 |
| Table 8-18. Summary of Estimated of the treatment effects using covariate analys | is for Cohor |
| 1946-51 | 264 |
| Table 8-19 Baseline Characteristics for users and non-users of the mental health se | rvices for the |
| Cohort 1921-26 (N=752) | 267 |
| Table 8-20. Conventional regression models - Cohort 1921-26 | 268 |
| Table 8-21 Matched (one-to-one) sample comparison of Users and non-Users o | f the menta |
| health services for the 1921-26 Cohort | 270 |
| Table 8-22 Comparison of treatment effects for the 1921-26 Cohort | 271 |
| Table 8-23 Sensitivity Analysis for women from the 1973-78 Cohort | 274 |
| Table 8-24 Sensitivity analysis for the 1946-51 Cohort | 276 |
| Table 9-1 Summary of Treatment Regimes by follow-up periods by Service Use a | nd Improved |
| mental health. | 290 |
| Table 9-2. Transitional Changes for Users and Non-Users of the mental health ser | vices (Cohor |
| 1973-78) | 297 |
| Table 10-1 Demographic and health related characteristics at baseline | 315 |
| Table 10-2 Fit Statistics for model evaluation of base model | 327 |
| Table 10-3 Model estimates for time varying and time invarying covariates for sing | gle class base |
| model | 332 |
| Table 10-4 Model fit statistics for the single class base model with covariate | 332 |
| Table 10-5 Latent Classes definitions for the 1973-78 Cohort | 334 |
| Table 10-6 Average predicted probabilities for the 6 class model | 335 |
| Table 10-7 Socio-demographic characteristics by latent class for women from | the 1973-78 |
| cohort | 338 |
| Table 10-8 Mental health service use by latent class for women from the 1973-78 | cohort 339 |
| Table 12-1 Terms used in the literature search | 363 |
| Table 12-2 Literature search for Chapter 2 key words, and search literature databa | ase365 |
| Table 12-3 Characteristics by users and non-users of the mental health services | 372 |
| Table 12-4 Predisposing Factors for Users and Non-users of the mental health service | ces by gende |
| | 373 |
| Table 12-5 Enabling Factors for Non-users and Users of the mental health servic | es by gende |
| | 374 |
| Table 12-6 Need Factors for Non-users and Users of mental health services by gen | der 37 ^r |

| Table 12-7 | Association between the Andersen-Newman Behavioural model and mental healt |
|--------------|--|
| services for | men |
| Table 12-8 | Association between the Andersen-Newman Behavioural model and mental healt |
| services for | women |
| Table 12-9 | Association between the Andersen-Newman Behavioural model and mental healt |
| services for | men with high/very high K-10 scores |
| Table 12-10 | Association between the Andersen-Newman Behavioural model and mental healt |
| services for | women with high/very high K-10 scores38 |

Abstract

Mental illness is among one of the leading contributors to disease burden and has been ranked in the top ten public health concerns by the World Health Organisation. Global rates of depression in 2002 accounted for 4.5% of the total burden of disease with women more likely than men to be diagnosed. Treatment is a major component of recovery, with the most common treatment for mental illness being psychotherapy services and anti-depressant medications.

The Better Access Scheme initiative was introduced in 2006 under Medicare, Australia's universal healthcare system. The aim of the scheme was to provide affordable and accessible services for patients diagnosed with mental illness. The BAS provides general practitioner referral pathways for treatment therapies, which include subsidised services from allied mental health care practitioners, clinical psychologists, occupational therapists and psychiatrists.

The primary purpose of this thesis is to determine factors that predict use of the mental health services provided under Australia's Better Access Scheme. The thesis investigates the utilisation of the BAS treatment therapies and their impact on the Australian people, specifically, women with mental health problems. The thesis has been divided into two parts: one which examines the use of the mental health services by gender and the second which investigates treatment effects of the mental health services across three cohorts of women over a six year follow-up period. Four research studies are undertaken in this thesis to achieve the research aims and all analyses use observational data linked to administrative datasets.

The first part of the thesis examines predictors of mental health service use for both men and women. The study uses a large scale population dataset (the 45 and Up Study dataset) to provide self-reported information on the health and wellbeing of the participants which provides factors for the analysis. The participants were followed for a year after returning their survey and information about service use under the BAS was collected from the Medicare database. The study found that women were twice as likely as men to use the mental health services. In addition, patients with poorer mental health were accessing the government funded mental health services in Australia but this was only a tenth of this subset of the population. The results of this study support other studies that have shown age, being partnered, having higher educational qualifications, living in an urban area and having private health insurance to be key drivers for both men and women accessing the services. The findings also emphasise that men who have two or more alcoholic drinks a week and women classified as overweight or obese have decreased odds of using the services. Furthermore, the study shows men and women with

more severe psychosocial distress have at least three times the odds of using the mental health services.

The second part of the thesis involves three interlinked studies using linked data from the Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health (ALSWH). The ALSWH data consists of three cohorts of women identified as those born between 1973-78, 1945-51 and 1921-26. Participants were recruited in 1996 and surveyed on an ongoing three year rolling basis. The first study in this part of the thesis investigates the time taken for women to access a mental service under the BAS based on their area of residence. The findings indicate younger women from inner regional areas in Australia are more likely to have the longest time between having a GP mental health assessment and accessing care. The second study investigates treatment effects of the mental health services for women who have been defined as having poor mental health at the time the BAS was introduced. Women from each cohort are grouped into users and non-users of the services and a propensity score analysis is performed to predict the probability of each participant's need for using the mental health services. The findings show that users of the services are less likely to show improved mental health compared to non-users of the services after first follow-up for women of the 1973-78 and 1946-51 cohort. Treatment effects are not calculated for the 1921-26 cohort due to the small sample of women using the mental health services. The third study follows the 1973-78 cohort for a further follow-up period and finds improved mental health is seen for the user group of women who have successfully concluded treatment at second follow-up, showing an 11.1 mean point improvement in mental health score from their baseline score. Further, latent class analysis examines the different patterns of mental health service use for women of the 1973-78 cohort, showing six patterns of use exist.

The research presented in this thesis provides an in-depth analysis based on the Anderson-Newman model framework of the differing social, economic and health characteristics of Australian people but specifically women with mental health conditions. This research extensively examines the characteristics of those who do and do not use the treatment therapies, and identifies inequalities in usage of the BAS services. In conclusion, this thesis demonstrates the mental health outcomes for people using BAS services. Analyses included in the thesis utilise two large population-based datasets linked to administrative medical claims data to enable extensive quantitative analyses, including complex modelling (e.g. analyses using propensity scoring methods) that allows for observational data to be interpreted in a similar manner to that usually reserved for randomised controlled trials. This thesis provides a comprehensive understanding of the mental health care needs and service uptake and outcomes of the Australian people.